

TRAFFIC	PARKING	BLDG DESIGN	NATURAL RESOURCES	OTHER
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pac/Mission intersection congested • Peds/bikes • High traffic generated by project • Tenants can car share • Popular commercial business = traffic • Consider car-share programs for tenants • Traffic Study • Consider other locations other than bottleneck to DT • Consider how traffic affected across town. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not adequate parking – 30%, not practical; request additional studies • Not enough street parking; already congested • Not enough public transportation • Tenants not local; may not work downtown; commuters with cars • Will not meet locals needs • Parking adequate, walkable area • Where will visitors/caregivers park? Not enough in surrounding area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design Aesthetic/height not compatible with SC • Shading; blocks views; will impact adj. complex • Building out of proportion; would like lower height; consider height of Dream Inn, comparatively • Historically, brick recommended • Design not compatible with history/clocktower • Looms over clocktower • Clocktower may have been moved to location; change in design is good • Both designs good fit • More greenery on roof • Support taller building/more housing • Support taller than proposed • Like balconies/private outdoor space • Unit size good for families • Taller building could support local businesses • Latino voices support 4-story maximum buildings • Require a visual impact analysis • Is 16 stories cost-effective to build? • Entryway could be community space 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redwoods can't be replaced; important for environment • Concern with water shortage/demand • Bird migration impacted? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request additional data to support market-rate housing • What schools will support development? • Schools are not within walking distance • Building less housing than the number of students graduating from high school • Pricing out kids • Support housing downtown • Local contractors/jobs priority (WB) • Need to define “affordable” • Support need for housing • Also need more infrastructure (water?) • Support preservation of Rush Inn or relocation; City should support local businesses. • Plan for new businesses in new commercial spaces; many are vacant. • Density bonus lowers inclusionary requirement • Rents will be too high to support students; as shown by other dev in town • Single-family homes will become more desirable/more expensive with increased stock of rental units • Concern with applicant • Concern with living units vs. short-term rental units • Rentals are temporary, do not provide ownership opportunity; many rental dev in city • Concern with costs to city re: infrastructure • Consider childcare facility onsite • Consider impacts on city services (fire, police, etc.) • Concern with geotech = large building • Encourage individual water meters per unit = water conservation • Encourage solar panels • Provide electric vehicle chargers • No demand for high-cost small apartments • Updated emergency evac plan needed