PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION For PROPOSED TOWNHOME DEVELOPMENT 900 High Street APN 001-022-40 Santa Cruz, California

> Prepared For ENVISION 1, LLC Santa Cruz, California

Prepared By DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Geotechnical Engineers Project No. SCR-1221 MARCH 2023



Phone (831) 427-1770

March 10, 2023

Project No. SCR-1221

ENVISION 1, LLC % Sibley Simon 189 Walnut Avenue Santa Cruz, California 95060

Subject: Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation

Reference: Proposed Townhome Development 900 High Street APN 001-022-40 Santa Cruz, California

Dear Mr. Simon:

As requested, we have completed a preliminary geotechnical investigation for the new townhome development proposed at the referenced site. The purpose of our preliminary investigation was to evaluate the soil conditions in the vicinity of the proposed improvements and develop preliminary geotechnical recommendations for the proposed development. We have worked closely with the project geologist, Erik Zinn, during our investigation.

This report presents the preliminary results, conclusions and recommendations of our investigation.

Very truly yours,

DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Rebecca L Dees

Rebecca L. Dees Geotechnical Engineer G.E. 2623



Copies: 1 to Addressee 1 to Erik Zinn; Pacific Crest Engineering

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	4
Introduction	4
Purpose and Scope	4
Project Location and Description	4
Field Investigation	5
Subsurface Soil Conditions	6
Groundwater	7
Seismicity	7
Liquefaction	7
Landsliding	7
DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	9
LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS	11
APPENDIX A	12
Site Vicinity Map	13
Regional Topography	14
Site Plan	15
Unified Soil Classification System	16
Logs of Test Borings	17

PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

Introduction

This report presents the results of our preliminary geotechnical investigation for the new townhome development proposed at 900 High Street in Santa Cruz, California. The proposed townhome development will consist of a three to five story structure that steps up the slope at the back of the existing parking lot for the Peace United Church.

Purpose and Scope

The purpose of our preliminary investigation was to explore the soil and marble conditions beneath the proposed development in conjunction with the project geologist, determine potential geotechnical hazards that may exist at the proposed development site, and discuss potential mitigation methods to address geologic and geotechnical hazards for the proposed improvements.

The specific scope of our services was as follows:

- 1. Site reconnaissance and review of available data in our files pertinent to the site and vicinity. Including review of our stability analysis letter, dated 1 April 2022.
- 2. Discussions with the project geologist and review of the Preliminary Geologic Investigation, dated 2 July 2018, prepared by Zinn Geology and the geological feasibility letter, dated 9 March 2023 by Pacific Crest Engineering, Inc. Note that Erik Zinn was the author/geologist for both referenced reports.
- 3. Exploration of subsurface conditions consisting of logging and sampling of thirteen (13) exploratory borings, terminated 6 to 40 feet below grade.
- 4. Engineering analysis and evaluation of the resulting data.
- 5. Preparation of this report presenting the results of our preliminary investigation.

Project Location and Description

The project site is located at 900 High Street in Santa Cruz, California, Figure 1. The site is developed with the Peace United Church which consists of several buildings and parking areas. The proposed townhome building will be located on the rear slope at the existing upper parking lot of the church. See Figure 2. The project is still in the preliminary feasibility stages and the exact layout of the proposed building has not been determined yet. However, preliminary plans indicate the new townhome building will be three to five stories high and it will step up the slope with the lower portion of the building at the base of the slope in the existing parking lot area and the upper portion of the building on the terrace at the top of the slope.

The 5.9-acre property is located on a moderate slope above High Street. The site was graded to accommodate the existing improvements and a portion of the proposed building will be located

in the area of an existing parking lot. The nearly level parking lot was graded by cutting into the slope above and placing fill on the downslope side. Grading on the adjacent parcel to the east created a steep, near vertical cut slope adjacent to the proposed building envelope. The cut slope is about 50 to 70 feet high, adjacent to the proposed development, and the slope begins a few feet from the eastern property line of the subject site. See Figure 2. The building will be setback 60 feet from the top of the adjacent slope to the east.

Field Investigation

The site is underlain by marble bedrock that is overlain by marine terrace deposits and soil. The marble formation in the region is characterized by sinkholes, caves and underground drainages. The marble formation at the proposed building site is relatively level and is capped with about 10 to 30 feet of marine terrace soils. Voids have developed in the marble creating dolines with doline fill consisting of broken marble rocks and soil that have collapsed into the voids. The composition of doline fill is typically mixed soil and angular fragments of bedrock.

Determining the depth to intact marble is constrained by the mechanical limitations of the drilling equipment used for the field exploration. "Intact" marble for this project is mostly defined by refusal for the auger. It is possible that the auger may have encountered refusal in some borings on a large piece of marble rubble instead of the assumed intact marble. Nevertheless, the results obtained from the equipment used for this project, combined with consistent assumptions, allow for reasonable conclusions to be drawn about the relative geometry of the "intact" marble surface. Obtaining the absolute geometry of the marble surface, however, may prove economically prohibitive.

We initially drilled a grid of ten borings along the lower pad of the proposed building area with the borings being about 40 to 50 feet apart to broadly characterize the overall geometry of the intact marble bedrock surface and risk related to future sinkhole collapses. As the design of the project evolved to development on the steep slope that lies above the relatively flat parking lot, we drilled three borings at the top of the slope to characterize the earth materials that underlie the steep slope and to determine the stability of the slope. The marble in areas between the borings can vary greatly so the information from the borings is only reliable at the actual boring locations.

The subsurface conditions in the parking area at the base of the slope were explored on May 9, 2018 with ten (10) exploratory borings drilled with 6-inch diameter continuous flight augers advanced with truck mounted drilling equipment and subsurface conditions at the top of the slope were explored on February 11, 2022 with three (3) exploratory borings drilled 33 and 40 feet below grade with 6-inch diameter tractor mounted drilling equipment. The approximate locations of the exploratory borings are indicated on Figure 3. Each boring was drilled to refusal in marble. Refusal was based on the drilling resistance; when the drilling rate became slower than 5 minutes for six (6) inches of penetration the boring was terminated.

The soils observed in the test borings were logged in the field and described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (D2487 and D2488), Figure 4. The Test Boring Logs, Figures

5 to 17, denote subsurface conditions at the locations and times observed, and they are not warranted they are representative of subsurface conditions at other locations or times.

Representative soil samples were obtained from the exploratory borings at selected depths, or at major strata changes. These samples were recovered using the 3.0-inch O.D. Modified California Sampler (L) or the Standard Terzaghi Sampler (T). The penetration resistance blow counts for the (L) and (T) noted on the boring logs were obtained as the sampler was dynamically driven into the in-situ soil. The process was performed by dropping a 140-pound hammer a 30inch free fall distance and driving the sampler 6 to 18 inches and recording the number of blows for each 6-inch penetration interval. The blows recorded on the boring logs present the accumulated number of blows that were required to drive the last 12 inches. The blow counts for the large samples indicated on the logs have been converted to equivalent standard penetration test (SPT) values.

Subsurface Soil Conditions

Our borings suggest the surface of the marble bedrock is generally located 6 to 12 feet below existing grade in the parking area at the base of the slope and about 30 to 35 feet below the building area at top of the slope. Some of the borings penetrated infilled dolines and encountered intact marble at deeper depths.

The project geologist prepared a contour map based on our borings showing the surface of the marble below the parking area. The depth of the marble surface is fairly uniform between our borings (6 to 10 feet deep) with the exception of the Boring 2, where the marble was 24 feet deep. Rubble and slough were encountered on top of the marble surface suggesting there is a doline in the vicinity of Boring 2. A doline, also known as a sinkhole, can fill with slough and rubble spalling/eroding off the side walls of the depression. Although the marble surface appears uniform in our borings, the marble in areas between the borings can vary greatly so the information from the borings is only reliable at the actual boring locations. Therefore, we recommend drilling additional borings in a smaller grid pattern prior to developing plans and specifications for the project.

The marble encountered in our widely dispersed borings drilled at the top of the slope was mostly rubble and the geometry of the intact marble bedrock was not determined. Further drilling, will be necessary to adequately characterize the intact marble and sinkhole hazards north of the parking area.

The soil overlying the marble primarily consists of fine silty sand with some areas of fine sandy silt at the base of the building site and clayey sand over sand on the slope and upper terrace areas. The soils were generally medium dense to dense except for the soils encountered in the infilled dolines.

At Boring 2, drilled at the base of the slope, the doline was infilled with about 12 feet of loose to medium dense soil over marble rubble down to 24 feet. We were unable to sample the soils/rubble below 12 feet but we were able to advance the drill auger to 24 feet where refusal

was met. Loose soil and marble rubble was encountered in our borings drilled at the top of the slope. The loose soil and marble rubble was encountered from 31.5 to 38 feet in Boring 1A and 25 to 40 feet in Boring 2A.

Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in our borings and the soils were damp to moist throughout the explored profile. The boring logs denote groundwater conditions at the locations and times observed, and they are not warranted they are representative of groundwater conditions at other locations and times.

<u>Seismicity</u>

The following is a general discussion of seismicity in the project area. A detailed discussion of seismicity is beyond the scope of our services.

The closest faults to the site are the Monterey Bay-Tularcitos Fault, the offshore San Gregorio Fault, the Zayante-Vergeles Fault, and San Andreas Fault. The San Andreas Fault is the largest and most active of the faults in the site vicinity. However, each fault is considered capable of generating moderate to severe ground shaking. It is reasonable to assume that the proposed development will be subject to at least one moderate to severe earthquake from one of the faults during the next fifty years.

Monterey Bay	San Gregorio	Zayante Fault Zone	San Andreas
Fault Zone	Fault Zone		Fault Zone
5.8 miles Southwest	8.6 miles Southwest	8.8 miles Northeast	11.6 miles Northeast

Liquefaction

Liquefaction occurs when saturated fine grained sands, silts and sensitive clays are subject to shaking during an earthquake and the water pressure within the pores builds up leading to loss of strength. Due to the lack of groundwater, there is a very low potential for liquefaction to affect the proposed improvements.

Landsliding

The proposed building site is located on a moderately steep, 25 to feet high south facing slope. Perpendicular to the south facing slope is a near vertical, 50 to 70 feet high, east facing cut slope remaining from an abandoned quarry located just east of the project site.

We performed a stability analysis of the south facing slope that lies between the parking lot and the upper terrace where the building will be situated. Our analysis indicated the south facing slope is stable under both static and seismic conditions. See our report, dated 1 April 2022. The geologist indicated there has not been any historic landslides on the natural south facing slope and no surficial landslides were observed in the cut at the base of the slope during our investigation. However, the cut at the base of the slope beneath the proposed building has experienced erosion over the years so the cut at the base of the slope should be flattened or retained as part of the proposed improvements. The July 2018 Zinn Preliminary Geologic Investigation indicated there is a potential for rock falls within the steep east facing quarry face adjacent to the proposed building site. They have recommended assuming five (5) feet of marble could be lost from portions of the rock face over the life of the structure. A stability analysis of the soil overlying the marble comprising the east slope was performed using a modified version of the geologic cross section provided in the preliminary geologic report. The overall height of the cross section was increased with a thicker terrace deposit that match the thickness of the terrace deposits encountered in our borings drilled at the top of the slope. Our analysis indicates the soil overlying the marble is stable under both static and seismic conditions. However, there is a potential for erosion to occur within the terrace deposits. Based on existing eroded areas, the terrace deposits tend to flatten to about 35 degrees.

DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our preliminary investigation, the proposed townhouse development is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. Primary geotechnical concerns include performing additional subsurface exploration to further explore the marble formation beneath the building, mitigating potential future sink hole formation beneath structures, providing firm uniform support for the building foundation, setting improvements back from the top of the quarry slope, retaining or flattening the cut slope at the base of the slope beneath the proposed building, controlling site drainage and designing for strong seismic shaking.

Our initial borings indicate the marble formation below the lowest section of the building is shallow (about 10 feet) and relatively uniform with the exception of an infilled sinkhole (doline) located in the vicinity of Boring 2. The soils that infilled the doline are looser than the natural soils elsewhere so there is a potential for differential settlement between areas supported over the doline and areas not supported over the doline. Marble rubble was encountered at about the same elevation as the marble encountered at the base of the slope in all three borings drilled at the top of the slope. But it is not known at this time if the rubble is part of a doline. Further investigation is necessary to determine the relative geometry of the intact marble bedrock surface and if there are additional sinkholes/dolines beneath the proposed building that might pose a risk to the proposed structures if they reactivate.

There are several mitigation options available for preventing sinkhole development beneath the building. In the lower portion of the building where the existing parking lot is located, the marble is only located about 10 feet below the ground surface. The soil above the existing dolines can be excavated to expose the dolines and lean concrete can be used to plug and cap the dolines which will prevent soil from eroding into the dolines and causing future sinkholes. The marble is over 30 feet below the ground surface at the top of the slope so excavating the soil down to the marble is not practical. If dolines are found to exist in the higher areas of the building site, we recommend a cap grout, several feet thick, be installed by injection grouting along the marble bedrock surface. The cap grout can be used in isolated areas or the entire area can be grouted depending on the number and spacing of potential dolines. Cap grout can also be used in the lower portion of the building envelope, if desired.

The surface soils in the building envelope vary and the soils at the top of the slope do not have the same bearing capacities or engineering properties as the soils at the base of the slope. This could result in differential settlement between the different levels of the building foundation. To mitigate differential settlement across the building, we recommend compacting the foundation zone soils to create uniform bearing support beneath foundations.

South Slope: The cut at the base of the slope beneath the proposed building has experienced erosion over the years so the cut slope should be flattened or retained as part of the proposed improvements.

East Slope: Using a 5 feet thick marble retreat and a 35 degree angle within the terrace deposits,

the retreat line becomes about 15 feet at the lower portion of the building envelope and about 40 feet on the upper terrace at the upper end of the building envelope. We recommend setting the structure back from the top of the slope at least 25 feet at the elevation of the parking lot at the base of the proposed building envelope and at least 50 feet from the top edge of the slope at the upper end of the proposed building envelope. There is an existing erosional scar that is near the back of the proposed building. The setback to the existing erosion scar can be reduced since the soil has already eroded there. The top of slope adjacent to the existing scar may be used to measure the setback in that area.

Dolines frequently reactivate and renewed collapse of the soil/rubble matrix frequently occurs when extra water is added to an existing doline. This is because the soils become weaker and heavier as they become unnaturally saturated causing soil collapses under its own weight and from erosion of the soils through a process called soil piping, where soil is carried away with the water flowing the karst formations. Due to the risk related to doline reactivation at the site, we recommend that surface runoff from the proposed improvements and coming off the slope above the improvements should be captured and discharged off-site. Bioswales and retention systems may be used to store and filter runoff, but these systems will need to be sealed so no water is infiltrated into the soil. Irrigated landscape areas located within 20 feet of structures should also be sealed to prevent irrigation water from seeping into the subsoils. Buried utilities that carry water (water, sewer, fire etc.) that are located within 50 feet of structures should be regularly tested for leaks as sink holes can develop quickly.

The site is located in a highly seismic region near several major fault zones. The proposed improvements will most likely experience strong seismic shaking during the design lifetime. Structures should be designed to resist seismic shaking in accordance with current building code requirements.

LIMITATIONS AND UNIFORMITY OF CONDITIONS

- 1. The recommendations of this report are based upon the assumption that the soil conditions do not deviate from those disclosed in the borings. If any variations or undesirable conditions are encountered during construction, or if the proposed construction will differ from that planned at the time, our firm should be notified so that supplemental recommendations can be given.
- 2. This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner, or his representative, to ensure that the information and recommendations contained herein are called to the attention of the Architects and Engineers for the project and incorporated into the plans, and that the necessary steps are taken to ensure that the Contractors and Subcontractors carry out such recommendations in the field. The conclusions and recommendations contained herein are professional opinions derived in accordance with current standards of professional practice. No other warranty expressed or implied is made.
- 3. The findings of this report are valid as of the present date. However, changes in the conditions of a property can occur with the passage of time, whether they are due to natural processes or to the works of man, on this or adjacent properties. In addition, changes in applicable or appropriate standards occur whether they result from legislation or the broadening of knowledge. Accordingly, the findings of this report may be invalidated, wholly or partially, by changes outside our control. Therefore, this report should not be relied upon after a period of three years without being reviewed by a soil engineer.

APPENDIX A

Site Vicinity Map

Regional Topography

Boring Site Plan

Unified Soil Classification System

Logs of Test Borings



SITE VICINITY	
Figure 1	



REGIONAL TOPOGRAPHY Figure 2





Figure 3

MAJC	R DIVISION	s	GROUP SYMBOLS	TYPICAL NAMES	CLASSIFICATION CR	RITERIA
/E SIZE /ISIBLE	OARSE THAN	EAN VELS FINES)	GW	Well-graded gravels, gravel- sand mixtures, little or no fines	Wide range in grain sizes and subs all intermediate particle sizes	stantial amounts of
ARTICLE V	VELS ALF OF C LARGER EVE SIZE	CLE GRA (< 5%	GP	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Predominantly one size or a range intermediate sizes missing Not meeting all gradation requirem	of sizes with some ents for GW
ILS** THAN NC ALLEST P/	GRA E THAN H. CTION IS NO. 4 SI	VELS FINES FINES)	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures	Non plastic fines or fines with low plasticity Atterberg limits below "A" line or PI < 4	Above "A" line with 4 < PI < 7 are borderline
INED SO LARGER THE SM/ VKED EYE	MORI FRA	GRA WITH (>12%	GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand- clay mixtures	Plastic fines Atterberg limits above "A" line with Pl > 7	cases requiring use of dual symbols
SE-GRA RIAL IS ABOUT THE N/	RSE HAN	EAN NDS FINES)	SW	Well-graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Wide range in grain sizes and subs all intermediate sizes missing	stantial amounts of
COAR: F MATE SIZE IS TO	OF COA LLER TH SIZE	C⊔l SAI (<5%	SP	Poorly graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Predominantly one size or a range intermediate sizes missing Not meeting all gradation requirem	of sizes with some ents for SW
AN HALF O 200 SIEVE	SANDS HAN HALF ON IS SMA O. 4 SIEVE	IH FINES INES)	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures	Non plastic fines or fines with low plasticity Atterberg limits below "A" line or	Limits plotting in hatched zone with 4 < PI < 7
MORE TH/ (THE NO. 3	MORE TH FRACTIO N	SANDS WI (>12% F	SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures	Pl < 4 Plastic fines Atterberg limits above "A" line with Pl > 7	are borderline cases requiring use of dual symbols
sieve size .e visible	4YS 50)		ML	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands, or clayey silts with slight plasticity	**Gravels and sands with 5 fines are borderline cases re of dual symbols.	% to 12 % equiring use
N NO. 200 S ST PARTICL	TS AND CL/		CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays	RELATIVE DENSITY O AND GRAVEL DESCRIPTION BL	DF SANDS _S OW / FT*
ED SOILS AALLER THA HE SMALLE ED EYE)	(FIC SIF		OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity	VERY LOOSE LOOSE MEDIUM DENSE DENSE VERY DENSE	0 – 4 4 – 10 10 – 30 30 – 50 DVER 50
FINE-GRAINE ATERIAL IS SN E IS ABOUT T TO THE NAK	AYS > 50)		МН	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or silty soils, elastic silts	CONSISTENCY OF S CLAYS DESCRIPTION BLC VERY SOFT	ILTS AND DWS / FT* 0 - 2
I HALF OF M [#] 00 SIEVE SIZE	ILTS AND CL		СН	Inorganic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts	SOFT FIRM STIFF VERY STIFF	2 - 4 4 - 8 8 - 16 16 - 32
IORE THAN THE NO. 20	(L S		ОН	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts	*Number of blows of 140 po falling 30 inches to drive a 2 vertical inches	ound hammer 2 inch O.D. 12
≥∽				Figure 4		

				TEST BORING LOG						5 900	CR-122 High St	1 treet			
LO	GGED	BY	: B	D DATE DRILLED: 5/7/18	BORING	TYPE	: 6″ SO	LID STEM				BORIN	G NO	1	
		SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1 - 2 - 3 -	1-1 T			Mottled yellow brown fine Silty SAND, damp, med	lium dense	sм	8 13 13	26							
4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8	1-2 T			Yellow brown mottled gray and orange fine Silty S SILT, damp, medium dense	AND/Sandy	SM/ ML	10 9 12	21							
- 9 - 10 - 11	1-3 T			MARBLE – Refusal at 11 feet			25/5″	25/30"							
12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 17 - 18 - 19				No Groundwater Encountered											
20 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 24															
	DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. Figure 5 * Blow count converted: L = Field Blow Count / 2 M = Field Blow Count / 1.5														

	TEST BORING LOG SCR-1221 900 High Street LOGGED BY: BD DATE DRILLED: 5/7/18 BORING TYPE: 6" SOLID STEM BORING NO: 2													
LO	GGED B	/: B	D DATE DRILLED: 5/7/18	BORING 1	YPE	: 6″ SO	LID STEN	1			BORIN	G NO:	2	
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	DHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1	2.1.1		FILL Mottled yellow brown fine Silty SAND, damp, med	ium dense	SM	17								
2	2-1-1 L 2-2 T		NATIVE Yellow brown very fine Silty SAND, damp, medium	dense	SM	20 23 7 4	22							
4	ľ					5	9							
5 - 6 - 7	2-3 T		Yellow brown very fine Silty SAND, damp, medium 1-inch clay seam at 6 feet	dense		4 5 7	12							
, 8 - 9														
- 10 - 11 -	2-4 T		Yellow brown with orange mottling Schist fragmen Dark yellow brown Clayey SAND with dark gray bro	nts own CLAY,	GP CL	3 3 2	5							
12 - 13			damp-moist, loose-soft MARBLE RUBBLE with Soil infill at 11.5 feet											
- 14					GP									
- 15 -														
16 - 17														
- 18														
19 -														
20 - 21														
- 22														
23			Pafural on MARRIE at 24 fact											
24		\vdash	Rerusal on MARBLE at 24 feet Boring Terminated at 24 Feet											
	DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 501 MISSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770 Figure 6 * Blow count converted: L = Field Blow Count / 2 M = Field Blow Count / 1.5													

			TEST BORING LOG						5 900	CR-122 High St	1 treet			
LO	GGED B	Y: E	D DATE DRILLED: 5/7/18	BORING	TYPE	: 6" SOI	LID STEN				BORIN	g NO	3	
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1 - 2	3-1-1 L		FILL Yellow brown mottled with dark gray brown and o damp-moist, very stiff	range CLAY,	CL	7 15 25	20							
3	3-2 T		Yellow brown mottled orange fine Silty SAND, dam	np, dense	SM	12 17 28	45							
5 - 6 - 7	3-3 T		Dark yellow brown fine Silty SAND with heavy mica moist, dense (weathered Schist)	a, damp-	SM	15 18 28	46							
- 8 - 9			MARBLE – Refusal at 9 feet		m									
- 10 - 11 -			Boring Terminated at 9 Feet No Groundwater Encountered											
13 14														
15 - 16 -														
17 - 18 - 19														
20 - 21														
- 22 - 23														
- 24 -				I										
	501	DE M	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770		Fi	gure	7			* Blo L = F M = Fi	w cour ield Blo ield Blo	nt con ow Co ow Co	verted unt / 2 unt / 1.	: .5

			TEST	BORING LO	G					5 900	CR-122 High St	1 treet			
LO	GGED B	(: B	D/SC DATE DA	RILLED: 5/7/18	BORING	TYPE	: 6" SO	LID STEN	1			BORIN	G NO:	4	
	SAMPLE NO.		so	DIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1 - 2 - 3 -	4-1-1 L 4-2 T		Light grayish brown f dense, mica	īne Silty SAND, damp, mec	fium dense to	SM	12 18 32 15 27 50	25 57							
- 5 - 6 - 7 -	4-3 T		Yellowish brown fine (weathered schist)	Silty SAND, damp, mediur	n dense	SM	9 10 10	20							
- 9			MARBLE - Refusal at	9 feet		m									
10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 24 - - 24			Bo												
	DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 501 MISSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770 Figure 8 Figure 8 Figure 8														

			TEST BORING LOG						s 900	CR-122 High St	1 treet			
LO	GGED BY	/: S	C DATE DRILLED: 5/7/18	BORING	TYPE	: 6″ SO	LID STEN	1			BORIN	G NO	5	
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1 - 2 - 3	5-1-1 L 5-2		Light yellowish brown Silty SAND, damp, dense		SM	17 38 50 17 23	44							
4			Yellowish brown Silty SAND, damp, dense (weath	ered schist)	sм	50	35							
5 - 6	5-3 T		Grayish Silty SAND, damp, very dense (weathered Refusal on MARBLE	schist)		18 50/3″	50/3″							
- 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 24 - 23 - 24 - 23 - 24 - 2			Boring Terminated at 6 Feet No Groundwater Encountered											
-	DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 501 MISSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770 Figure 9 Figur													

			TEST BORING LO	G					5 900	CR-122 High St	1 reet			
LC	GGED	B١	SC DATE DRILLED: 5/7/2018	6" S(olid st	EM		B	ORIN	g no	: 6			
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN-SITU	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDFX
- 1 - 2 - 3	6-1-1 L 6-2 T		Light yellowish brown Silty SAND damp, dense		sм	16 30 50 13 30	40							
- 4 -			Yellowish brown Silty SAND, damp, very dense (wea schist)	athered	SM	38	68							
5	6-3 Т		MARBLE – Refusal at 6 feet		m	12								
6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 23 - 23 - 23 - 23 - 23			Boring Terminated at 6 Feet No Groundwater Encountered											
-	[DE	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC.				10			* Blo	w cour	it con	verted	:
_	501 www.de	DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. Figure 10 Biow count convertee: 501 MISSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 Figure 10 L = Field Blow Count / 2 ww.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770 Fax: (831) 427-1794 M = Field Blow Count / 1.5												

			TEST BORING LOG						900	5C-122 High St	l reet			
LO	GGED B	/: S	C/BD DATE DRILLED: 5/7/2018	BORING	TYPE:	6" SOI	LID STEN	1		-	BORIN	G NO:	7	
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (DCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITU	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1 - 2 - 3	7-1-2 L 7-2 T		Fill – Trench Backfill Yellow brown fine Silty SAND, damp, medium dens Hit 4″ ggc pipe at 3.3 feet	e	SM	5 8 20 3 5 12	19							
4 - 5 - 6	7-3 T		NATIVE Dark yellow brown mottled gray fine Silty SAND, da loose White highly weathered MARBLE, dry, loose	amp-moist,	sм	3 2 2	4							
7			Refusal on MARBLE at 7.5 feet Boring Terminated at 7.5 Feet		m									
8 9 9 100 - 111 - 122 - 133 - 144 - 155 - 144 - 155 - 144 - 157 - 144 - 157 - 144 - 157 - 144 - 157 - 144 - 157 - 112 - 132 - 144 - 157 - 112 - 132 - 144 - 157 - 112 - 133 - 144 - 157 - 112 - 133 - 144 - 157 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 112 - 114 - 112 - 112 - 114 - 112 - 114 - 117 - 117 - 116 - 117 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 222 - 224 - 222 - 224 - 222 - 224 - 2 - 2			Boring Terminated at 7.5 Feet No Groundwater Encountered											
	501 www.de		ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 eo.com (831) 427-1770 Fax: (831) 427-1794		Fig	gure	11			* Blo L = F M = F	w cour ield Blo ield Blo	nt con ow Co ow Co	verted: unt / 2 unt / 1.	: .5

			TEST BORING LOG						900	5C-122 High St	1 treet			
LO	GGED B	(: B	D DATE DRILLED: 5/7/2018	BORING	TYPE:	6″ SO	LID STEM				BORIN	G NO:	8	
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (DCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITU	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX
- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 -	8-1-1 L T 8-2 T		Dash yellow brown (orange) fine Silty SAND, damp- medium dense Rocky drilling MARBLE RUBBLE	-moist,	SM GP	14 21 19 9 8 10 4 5 6	20 18 11							
10 - 111 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 21 - 20 - 21 - 21 - 20 - 21 - 20 - 21 - 20 - 21 - 20 - 21 - 20 - 21 - 20 - - 20 - - 20 - - 20 - - - 20 - - - - - - - - - - - - -			Refusal on MARBLE at 10.5 feet Boring Terminated at 10.5 Feet No Groundwater Encountered											
	501 www.de	DE MI	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 geo.com (831) 427-1770 Fax: (831) 427-1794		Fig	gure	12	_		* Blo L = F M = F	w cour field Blo ield Blo	nt con ow Co w Co	verted: unt / 2 unt / 1.	.5

	TEST BORING LOG						SC-1221 900 High Street									
LO	GGED B	/: B	D DATE DRILLED: 5/7/2018	BORING	TYPE:	6" SOI	LID STE	м			BORIN	G NO:	9			
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITU	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX		
- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 -	9-1-1 L 9-2 T 9-3 T		Yellow brown to dark yellow brown fine Silty SAN dense Yellow brown fine Silty SAND/Sandy SILT, damp-m dense	D, damp, noist, medium	SM/ ML	10 12 18 8 12 14 10 24	30 26									
6			MARBLE at 6.7 feet – Refusal at 7 feet		m	19	43									
7 - 88 - 99 - 100 - 111 - 122 - 133 - 144 - 155 - 166 - 177 - 176			Boring Terminated at 7 Feet No Groundwater Encountered													
	DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 501 MISSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060				Fi	gure	13			* Blo L = F M = F	w cour ield Blo ield Blo	nt con ow Co	verted: unt / 2 unt / 1	:		

	TEST BORING LOG							SC-1221 900 High Street									
LO	GGED B	/: B	D DATE DRILLED: 5/7/2018	BORING	YPE:	6" SOI	LID STEM			E	BORING	NO:	10				
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (DCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITU	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX			
- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 8 - 0	10-1 T 10-2 T		Light yellow brown fine Silty SAND/Sandy SILT, dam dense	ıp, medium	SM/ ML	3 10 10 12 17 22	20 39										
- 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 -	10-3 T		Dark brown with dark orange brown mottling Sandy moist, medium stiff MARBLE at 11.5 feet – Refusal at 12 feet Boring Terminated at12 Feet No Groundwater Encountered	y CLAY,	CL	3 3 5	8										
15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 21 - 22 -																	
23 - 24 - 25 - 26 -	[501	DE	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060		Fi	gure	2 14			* Bla L = F M = F	w cour ield Blo	nt con ow Co	verted unt / 2 unt / 1.	5			

			TEST BORING LOG				SCR-1221.1 900 High Street								
LO	GGED B	/: S	C DATE DRILLED: 2/11/22	BORING	TYPE	: 6" SO	LID STEM			B	ORING	i NO:	1A		
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX	
- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7	1-1-1 L 1-2 T 1-3-1 L		Dark brown with reddish mottling Sandy CLAY, mo Mottled reddish-brown Clayey SAND/gray Sandy C medium dense Reddish-brown Clayey SAND with seams of gray Cl medium dense, some small Gravel	oist, stiff CLAY, moist, lay, moist,	CL SC/ CL SC/ CL	5 7 12 4 5 6 9 12 4 6 8	10 11 11 14		22.3						
- 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 -	T 1-5 T		No gravels Approximate contact Mottled yellowish-brown/pale brown Clayey SANI dense, trace rootlets Approximate contact), dry-damp,	sc	12 15 20	35		10.7						
13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18	1-6 T		White with yellowish-brown mottling fine SAND, o dense	lry, medium	SP	7 9 12	21								
- 19 - 20 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 24	1-7-1 L 1-8 T		Pale brown mottled strong brown fine SAND, dry- medium dense White slightly mottled strong brown fine SAND, dr	damp, y, dense		17 20 22 12 19 30	21 49		8.5						
DEES & ASSOCIATES, INC. * Blow count com 501 MISSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 Figure 15 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770 Image: Figure 15										verted: unt / 2 unt / 1.	5				

	TEST BORING LOG							SCR-1221.1 900 High Street								
LO	GGED B	/: S	C DATE DRILLED: 2/11/22	BORING	YPE	: 6" SO	LID STEM	I		BOF	RING N	0: 1A	cont.			
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI	MOISTURE (%) SATURATED	COHESION (PSF)	HI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX		
- 25 - 26 - 27 - 28	1-9 T		White fine SAND, damp, very dense		SP	12 20 32	52									
29 - 30 - 31 - 32	1-10 T		Yellow fine SAND, damp, some small sub-round G dense MARBLE RUBBLE	aravels, very	-	15 24 34	58									
32 - 33 - 34 - 35 - 35 - 35 - 35 - 37 - 38 - 39 -	1-11 T 1-12 T		Brown and reddish-brown SAND and Clayey SAND loose Brown and reddish-brown mixture of Granite Gra Sand and Clayey Sand Rocky drilling below 38 feet MARBLE RUBBLE	D, damp, very Ivel, Schist,	SP/ SC	5 2 3 1 2 3	5		8.6							
40 - 41 - 42 - 43 - 43 - 44 - 45 - 46 - 46 - 48 - 48 -			Boring Terminated at 40 Feet No Groundwater Encountered													
	501	DE	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770		Figure 15a					* Blow count converted: L = Field Blow Count / 2 M = Field Blow Count / 1.5						

	TEST BORING LOG								SCR-1221.1 900 High Street								
LO	GGED B	/: S	C DATE DRILLED: 2/11/22	BORING	YPE	: 6" SO	LID STEM	1			BORING	i NO:	2A				
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI		COHESION (PSF)	HI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX			
$\begin{array}{c} - & 1 \\ - & 2 \\ - & 3 \\ - & 5 \\ - & 7 \\ - & 8 \\ - & 9 \\ - & 10 \\ - & 11 \\ - & 12 \\ - & 13 \\ - & 14 \\ - & 15 \\ - & 16 \\ - & 17 \\ - & 18 \\ - & 19 \\ - & 20 \\ - & 21 \\ - & 22 \\ - & 23 \\ - & 24 \\ - \\ - & 24 \\ - & - \\ - & 24 \\ - & - \\ - & 24 \\ - & - \\ - & 24 \\ - & - \\ - & 24 \\ - & - \\ - & - & 24 \\ - & - \\ - & - & - \\ - & - & - \\ - & - &$	2-1 T 2-2-1 L 2-3-1 L		Yellowish-brown strong brown mottling Clayey SA medium dense Yellowish-brown with gray mottling Clayey SAND, medium dense Gradational change with depth Yellowish-brown Clayey SAND, damp, loose, trace some coarse SAND Yellowish-brown Clayey SAND, damp, loose White mottled yellowish-brown fine SAND, damp,	ND, damp, damp, roots and loose	sc	4 5 8 9 10 12 7 7 9 4 3 4	13 11 8 7	96.9	17.3		1648.4	51.7					
	[501	DE MI	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770	Figure 16					* Blow count converted: L = Field Blow Count / 2 M = Field Blow Count / 1.5								

			TEST BORING LOG				SCR-1221.1 900 High Street								
LO	GGED B	/: S	C DATE DRILLED: 2/11/22	BORING	YPE	: 6" 50	LID STEM	I		BO	RING N	0: 2A	cont.		
	SAMPLE NO.		SOIL DESCRIPTION		USCS SOIL TYPE	FIELD BLOW COUNT	SPT BLOW COUNT*	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE (%) IN- SITLI		COHESION (PSF)	PHI ANGLE	% PASSING 200 SIEVE	PLASTICITY INDEX	
- 25 - 26 - 27 - 28 - 28 - 29	2-5-1 L		Doline Infill?? Mottled yellowish-brown/white Clayey fine SAND Marble) damp, loose	(fractured		8 9	9	97.3	10.6		1522.6	40.6			
- 30 - 31 - 32	2-6-1 L 2-7		Variegated yellowish-brown and pale brown Claye SAND, with schist and Granite Gravel, roots, damp Variegated white vellowish-brown Clayey (graniti	y very fine , loose -) SAND and		7 13 11 7 5	12								
32 - 33 - 34 - 35 - 36 - 37 - 38	Ζ-7 Τ		Variegated white, yellowish-brown Clayey (graniti Gravel, damp, loose, black manganese oxide motti MARBLE RUBBLE	c) SAND and	-	5 10	8								
39 - 40 -			Boring Terminated at 40 Feet												
41 - 42 - 43 - 44 - 45 - 45 - 45			No Groundwater Encountered												
- 47 - 48 -															
	501	DE MI	ES & ASSOCIATES, INC. SSION ST. STE. 8A SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 www.deesgeo.com (831) 427-1770		Fig	ure 1	16a			* BI L = M = I	ow cour Field Blo Field Blo	nt con ow Co w Co	verted: unt / 2 unt / 1.	5	