Oversized Vehicle Ordinance Public Outreach Meeting – 2/28/24

OVO Stakeholder Outreach Meeting of 2/28/24

1.	Stakeholder attendees –	(ACLU),	(Disability Advo	cates),
	(Santa Cruz Cares),	(Westside Neig	hbors),	(Santa
	Cruz Neighbors)			

- 2. Staff attendees Lisa Murphy (CMO), Lee Butler (PCD), Carter Jones (PD), Larry Imwalle (CMO), Gaven Hussey (Parking), Tim Maier (PCD)
- 4. Lisa Murphy introduced agenda for meeting
 - a. Introduced Conditions of Approval reviewed by Planning Commission at public hearing
 - b. asked question about makeup of Stakeholder Group meeting, and stated that he would want more representation from the unhoused
 - i. Stated that would happily cede place on Stakeholder Outreach Meeting group to unhoused person
 - c. asked about OV count and the approach/methodology for the count ("What would that look like?")
 - Lee Butler replied stated that would include a count of oversized vehicles, distinguishing between vehicles lived in and those not (e.g., indicated by fogging of windows during morning count)
 - d. asked about intent for outreach re: service available to unhoused
 - i. Lee stated three avenues for provision of feedback online form, email address for contact with City staff, phone number directed to staff
 - ii. relayed that, if want feedback, have to go out and proactively talk to people- often, repeatedly
 - iii. Lee agreed that experience described similar to that experienced by City staff
 - stated hard to measure impacts through quantitative data suggested that more qualitative data to be used
 - i. Larry clarified that Condition of Approval states that City staff will collect quantitative data to extent possible
 - ii. Lee underscored that OV count, trash pickup can be quantified
 - iii. Lee stated that staff receptive to recommendations
 - f. asked how measure access to Coast
 - i. Participant stated that not sure can ask Coastal Commission how measures access to coast
 - ii. suggested that calls to Police Department possibly a useful metric related to enforcement of OVO
 - 1. Carter Jones stated that tried to capture data through dispatch
 - Carter stated that phone number for police department nonemergency number advertised and is the phone number to which City staff direct complaints related to OVO

- 2. Participant asked if can differentiate call for service by number of vehicles, license plate, etc.
 - a. Carter explained details of how tally, quantify number of vehicles involved
- asked about how can distinguish number of vehicles cited, etc.
 - i. stated that has submitted several PRA requests and has not noticed any dismissals
- a. asked how can differentiate number of calls for service related to Ovs to determine whether situation has improved
 - i. Participant clarified number of calls for service does not exactly equate to number of tickets
- b. stated that many tickets given out on Westside, not many given on Eastside indicated selective enforcement
 - i. Carter relayed that number of tickets given has dramatically declined
 - 1. Carter stated that significantly fewer RVs in concentrations of multiple vehicles in daytime along Delaware Avenue
 - 2. Lisa stated that lower number of RVs on streets likely attributable to greater participation in safe parking programs
- c. Carter stated that has seen RVs from Safe Parking program parked on street
 - i. clarified that ticket issued to vehicle, not to person
 - 1. Gaven confirmed
 - 2. Carter indicated that common for RVs to be sold, donated owned by one party with release of liability to another party
- d. Larry stated that large number of Tier 2 participants enrolled right before program became active
 - When asked, Larry stated that participant enrollment had dropped off
- e. Lisa asked deadline for suggestions for COAs to be received
 - . Lee stated sooner, better have to get to clerk
 - 0. Lee stated that at PC hearing, conditions of approval modified
 - i. Lisa stated that, in order to get feedback into packet for Clerk, Clerk will need suggestions for modified conditions of approval by Monday
 - 0. Dylan stated that will provide written suggestions by 3/7, and, likely, by 3/6
 - ii. stated that calls for services underreported often, people don't want to call police -
 - 0. Carol asked about street sweeping Gaven provided basic feedback
 - iii. Lisa directed meeting back to focus on OV
 - 0. Lisa stated that appreciate content of suggestions
 - 1. Lisa stated that wants to focus on positives, negatives of enforcement
 - a. stated that not much time has passed need data on impacts of Safe Parking programs on Ovs
 - b. Lisa relayed that County has more resources in connecting OV residents to services
 - c. Discussion continued
 - d. estated that has heard the cost of gas 400 to 500 dollars per month
 - e. asked about street sweeping

- iv. Staff participant- asked if stakeholder participant have list of concerns beyond what discussed
 - 1. stated that concerns re: cost of gas, decline in number of RVs, number of RV dwellers with disabilities, accommodation of person with disabilities
 - a. Asked about nature of reasonable accommodation for RV
 - 2. ADA coordinator
 - . Stated that can take form of rides to safe parking locations, tow to parking locations, exemption from program, etc.
 - a. Stated that federal law requires that accommodation be provided accommodation must be directly related to disability
 - i. Lee stated that have added language to website site related to request for reasonable accommodation
 - ii. Lee stated that want to be sure that potential participants can find link for reasonable accommodation
 - iii. Lee describes how person can fill in form to make request
 - iv. Lee stated that can include info on Safe Parking form
 - -asked about hours of operation for Safe Parking
 - . Lee, Lisa related that staff do not work on weekend
 - a. Larry relayed that have guided participants in filling out form on weekends
 - 4. stated that complaint has heard relates to access to parking in lots
 - asked about next steps
 - Lisa replied that will wait for suggestions from him
 - i. stated that would send document to Lisa again that had previously sent
- 3. Meeting conclusion